1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DANIEL L. CARTER,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-0890 KJN P
12	VS.
13	ARAMARK FOOD SERVICES, et al., ORDER and
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed April 27, 2010, plaintiff was directed to file an in forma pauperis
17	affidavit and/or pay the appropriate filing fee within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to
18	do so may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has
19	expired and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order nor filed an in forma pauperis
20	affidavit or paid the filing fee.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign
22	a district judge to this case.
23	Further, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
24	prejudice.
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 21 days
	1

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objection 2 with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings 3 and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified 4 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 5 (9th Cir. 1991). 6 DATED: June 18, 2010 7 KENDALL J. NEWMAN 10 Lardosyn.fifp 11 card0syn.fifp 12 card0syn.fifp 13 Interview of the second se	S
 and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: June 18, 2010 KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE cart0890.fifp 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	
4 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 6 DATED: June 18, 2010 7 8 9 10 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: June 18, 2010 <i>Kendall J. NewMan</i> <i>Kendall J. NewMan</i> <i>carto890.fifp</i> <i>carto890.fifp</i> <i>i</i> <i></i>	1
 DATED: June 18, 2010 <i>KendAll J. NewMan</i> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE cart0890.fifp cart0890.fifp 	
7 8 9 <i>Kendall J. Newman</i> 10 <i>Kendall J. Newman</i> 11 <i>cart0890.fifp</i> 12 <i>i</i> 13 <i>i</i> 14 <i>i</i> 15 <i>i</i> 16 <i>i</i> 17 <i>i</i> 18 <i>i</i>	
8 June 9 June 10 KENDALL J. NEWMAN 11 cart0890.fifp 12 cart0890.fifp 13 i 14 i 15 i 16 i 17 i 18 i	
9 Image: Constraint of the second	
KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE art0890.fifp cart0890.fifp cart0890.fifp 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
11 cart0890.fifp 12	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
13 14 15 16 17 18	
14 15 16 17 18	
15 16 17 18	
16 17 18	
17 18	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22 23	
23	
25	
26	
20	

I