

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GORDON DALE MEADOR,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-0901 DAD P

vs.

WEDELL, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By an order filed May 6, 2010, plaintiff was ordered to file a new in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit and the certified copy of his prison trust account statement.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to randomly assign this case to a District Judge.

Also, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

////

1           These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District  
2 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-  
3 one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written  
4 objections with the court. The objections should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s  
5 Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the  
6 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
7 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

8 DATED: June 22, 2010.

9  
10   
11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 DALE A. DROZD  
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 DAD:4  
13 mead0901.fifp  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26