1			
1 2			
2			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ROSE OGG,		
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1379 JAM GGH PS		
12	VS.		
13	DANIEL S. WEISS, et al.,		
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>		
15	/		
16	By order filed September 13, 2010, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and		
17	twenty-eight days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. In that order, the court		
18	informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now		
19	expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's		
20	order.		
21	Plaintiff has apparently decided to rest on the dismissed complaint. For the		
22	reasons given in the September 13, 2010, order, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this		
23	action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District		
25	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen		
26	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written		
	1		

1	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's		
2	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the		
3	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951		
4	4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
5		/ Gregory G. Hollows	
6	5	NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7			
8	3		
9			
10)		
11			
12	2		
13	3		
14	1		
15	5		
16	5		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26			
	2		
	ll de la constant de		

I