1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ERIC JASON MARTINEZ,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1795 MCE GGH P
12	VS.
13	F. FOULK, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed December 30, 2010, the court granted plaintiff twenty-eight days to
17	file an amended complaint. In the December 30th order, the court informed plaintiff of the
18	deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not
19	filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
20	For the reasons given in the December 30, 2010, order, IT IS HEREBY
21	RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R.
22	Civ. P. 41(b).
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within
25	fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
26	written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate
	1

Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and
served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
DATED: March 7, 2011

5	
6	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
7	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	mart1795.fta
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	