(HC) Brown v. Warden		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	BRYANT KEITH BROWN,	
11	Petitioner,	No. 2:10-cv-2040 MCE KJN P
12	VS.	
13	WARDEN,	
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	/	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ	
17	of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
19	On December 6, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days.	
22	Petitioner has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule	
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire	
25	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
26	proper analysis.	
		1

Doc. 19

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 6, 2010, are adopted in
- 2. Respondent's October 25, 2010 motion to dismiss (ECF No. 10) is granted.
- 3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28

U.S.C. § 2253, and therefore Petitioner's motion (ECF No. 17) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 9, 2011

full.

MORRISON C. ENGLAND JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE