1 2 3 4 5 6	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney LUCILLE GONZALES MEIS Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX Social Security Administration JACQUELINE A. FORSLUND Special Assistant United States Attorney  333 Market Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 977-8932 Facsimile: (415) 744-0134  Attorneys for Defendant
8	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	CHARLES LEETE, ) 2:10-cv-02516 EFB (TEMP)
12	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND ORDER
13	v. ) FOR REMAND PURSUANT TO SENTENCE FOUR OF 42 U.S.C.
14	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of  9 405(g), and 0 9 405(g)
15	Social Security, ) REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF AND
16	Defendant. ) AGAINST DEFENDANT
17	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties, through their respective
19	counsel of record, that this action be remanded to the Commissioner of Social Security for further
20	administrative action pursuant to section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C.
21	§ 405(g), sentence four. The purpose of this remand is to offer Plaintiff a new hearing, develop the
22	record, and issue a new decision.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

On remand, the Office of Disability Adjudication and Review will instruct the	
Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to update the record and offer Plaintiff a new hearing. The ALJ	
will further develop the record by obtaining vocational expert (VE) testimony as to whether	
Plaintiff can perform his past relevant work at step four of the sequential evaluation procedure and	.,
if the ALJ proceeds to step five, obtain VE testimony as to whether Plaintiff can perform other	
work that exists in significant numbers in the national economy.	
The parties further request that the Clerk of the Court be directed to enter a final judgment	
in favor of Plaintiff, and against Defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, reversing the final	
decision of the Commissioner.	
Respectfully submitted,	
Dated: June 16, 2011 /s/ Joseph C. Fraulob	
(As authorized via email	
Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated: June 16, 2011 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER	
JACQÛELINE A. FORSLUND	
Attorney for Defendant	
IT IS SO ORDERED:	
Dated: June 20, 2011	
EDMUND F. BRENNAN	
	Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to update the record and offer Plaintiff a new hearing. The ALJ will further develop the record by obtaining vocational expert (VE) testimony as to whether Plaintiff can perform his past relevant work at step four of the sequential evaluation procedure and if the ALJ proceeds to step five, obtain VE testimony as to whether Plaintiff can perform other work that exists in significant numbers in the national economy.  The parties further request that the Clerk of the Court be directed to enter a final judgment in favor of Plaintiff, and against Defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, reversing the final decision of the Commissioner.  Respectfully submitted,  Dated: June 16, 2011    Social Social Security reversing the final on 06/16/2011     Attorney for Plaintiff