

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH A. SMITH,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-2560 MCE DAD PS

vs.

SACRAMENTO SHERIFF
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By order signed May 17, 2011, plaintiff's pro se complaint was dismissed with leave to file an amended complaint that cured the defects noted in that order. Plaintiff was granted thirty days from the date of the order to file an amended complaint and was cautioned that failure to respond to the court's order in a timely manner may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty-day period has expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order in any manner.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file

1 written objections with the court. A document containing objections should be titled "Objections
2 to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
3 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See
4 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: June 23, 2011.

6
7 
8 _____
9 DALE A. DROZD
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 DAD:kw
12 Ddad1\orders.pro se\smith2560.fta

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26