-DAD (PC) H	Keel v. Baker et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	RICKY RAY KEEL,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:10-cv-2796 JAM DAD (PC)	
12	vs.		
13	D. BAKER, et al.,		
14	Defendants.	ORDER	
15		/	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action		
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
19	On February 13, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any		
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither		
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:		
26	/////		
		1	

Doc. 37

1		1. The findings and recommendations filed February 13, 2012, are adopted in
2	full;	
3		2. Defendants' June 16, 2011 motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 29) is
4	4 denied; and	
5		3. This matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings.
6	DATED:	March 20, 2012
7		
8		/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		