1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	FRANK J. WILLIAMS,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:10-cv-2904 KJN P
12	VS.
13	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, <u>ORDER AND</u>
14	Respondent. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed November 4, 2010, petitioner was ordered to file either an
17	application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the appropriate filing fee within thirty days and
18	was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be
19	dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and petitioner has not responded to the court's
20	order and has not filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis or paid the filing fee.
21	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the
22	Court is directed to randomly assign a district judge to this case; and
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
24	prejudice.
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
	1

1	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: December 8, 2010
7	
8	
9	Ferdal & Newman
10	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	will2904.fff
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I