

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORLANDO VICTOR MADVENO,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:11-cv-0168 JFM (PC)

vs.

GARY R. STATON,

Defendant.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By an order filed February 1, 2011, plaintiff was ordered to either file an in forma pauperis application or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has neither filed an in forma pauperis application nor paid the appropriate filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign this action to a United States District Judge; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written  
2 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's  
3 Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served  
4 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
5 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.  
6 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

7 DATED: March 25, 2011.

8  
9   
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 12  
12 madv0168.fifp  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26