23

24

25

26

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CEDRIC GREENE, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV.S. 11-0584 KJM GGH PS 12 VS. 13 NEW CARVER APARTMENTS, Defendant. 14 ORDER AND FINDINGS AND 15 RECOMMENDATIONS 16 17 On March 9, 2011, this court issued an order which should have been issued as findings and recommendations. Therefore, the order filed March 9, 2011 is vacated, and the 18 19 following findings and recommendations are issued instead. 20 Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and has filed a request to proceed in 21 forma pauperis. A review of the court docket for the Central District of California reveals that 22 plaintiff has filed over seventy actions in that district and that he has been ordered to show cause

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action based on diversity jurisdiction be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in

why he should not be declared a vexatious litigant. See Greene v. Mens Central Jail, case no.

2:11-cv-00997-UA-SS, docket no. 2 (February 18, 2011).

the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction at the time the action is commenced, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a).

In this case, plaintiff's pleading concedes that the proper venue is Los Angeles, which is in the Central District of California. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), the court may dismiss an action laying venue in the wrong district.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Judgment entered on March 9, 2011 is vacated.
- 2. The order filed in this case on March 9, 2011, (dkt. # 3), is vacated.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: March 9, 2011

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH:076/greene0584.ifp2.wpd