1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ARMANDO FELIZ,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:11-cv-0855 JFM (HC)
12	VS.
13	SWARTHOUT, Warden,
14	Respondent. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed April 5, 2011, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis
17	affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fee within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do
18	so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has
19	now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an in forma
20	pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a district judge be assigned to this
22	case; and
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
24	prejudice.
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
	1

1	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
4	within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
5	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
6	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
7	DATED: July 28, 2011.
8	10 T Mar al
9	UNITED STATÉS MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	/feli0855.fifp
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I