

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FELIX COLON,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:11-cv-2407 GGH P

vs.

R. MIRANDA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER &

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with an action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983. Several defendants filed a motion to dismiss on March 8, 2012.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff was granted a 30 day extension to file an opposition on April 11, 2012, however that time has passed and plaintiff has not filed an opposition or otherwise communicated with the court. On June 6, 2012, the undersigned ordered that plaintiff file an opposition to the motions to dismiss within 14 days or else it would be recommended that this action be dismissed. Plaintiff has still not communicated with the court.

Local Rule 230(l) provides in part: “Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion . . . .” On January 4, 2012, plaintiff was advised of the requirements

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>1</sup> Another defendant was served on April 17, 2012, and also moved to dismiss this action on April 24, 2012, on the same grounds as the earlier filed motion.

