(PC) Solis v. Swarthout		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ALBERTO SOLIS,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:11-cv-2419 JFM (PC)
12	VS.	
13	SWARTHOUT,	ORDER AND
14	Defendant.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15		
16	By an order filed October 4, 2011, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma	
17	pauperis affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and was cautioned that	
18	failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day	
19	period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an	
20	in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a district judge be assigned to this	
22	case; and	
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
24	prejudice.	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District	
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen	
		1

Doc. 7

days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: December 8, 2011. /014;soli2419.fifp