

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BAILEY J. NELSON,

Plaintiff,

CIV S-11-2520 GGH

vs.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,
Commissioner of Social Security,
Defendant.

ORDER; and
FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

By order filed October 4, 2011, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and twenty-eight days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. In that order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Plaintiff has apparently decided to rest on the dismissed complaint. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall assign a district judge to this case. For the reasons given in the October 4, 2011 order, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: November 29, 2011

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 GGH:076/Nelson2520.fta.wpd
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26