1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	
11	GREGORY RALPH ABRARIA,
12	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-0469 AC P
13	VS.
14	SUSAN GEORGE, et al., ORDER AND
15	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
16	/
17	By order filed August 13, 2012, plaintiff's amended complaint was dismissed,
18	and he was granted thirty days leave to file a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28
19	U.S.C. § 2254. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed a petition
20	for writ of habeas corpus or otherwise responded to the court's order.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a
22	district judge to this case; and
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
24	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-
	1

1	eight days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: December 7, 2012.
7	auson Clane
8	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JODOE
10	/mb;abra0469.fta
11	/int,aorao+o>.na
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
26	
	2

I

I