1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	
11	JERRY W. BAKER,
12	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-0663 KJM AC P
13	VS.
14	C/O JANES, et al.,
15	Defendants. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
16	/
17	On August 13, 2012, plaintiff was ordered to complete and return
18	to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 form necessary to effect service on defendant
19	Janes. That thirty-day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the
20	order. Subsequently, by order filed on September 19, 2012, the other defendants in this action
21	were dismissed.
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
23	without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
24	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States
25	District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within
26	fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
	1
	Destud

1	written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings
2	and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within
3	fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
4	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.
5	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: December 3, 2012.
7	/s/ ALLISON CLAIRE
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	AC:009 bake0663.fusm
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I