Malibu Media, LLC v. Unknown		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	MALIBU MEDIA, LLC, a California limited liability company,	
11	innited hability company,	
12	Plaintiff,	No. 2:12-cv-1514 JAM DAD
13	vs.	
14	JOHN DOES 1 through 7,	<u>ORDER</u>
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	In this action plaintiff filed an ex parte application for expedited discovery to	
18	serve Rule 45 subpoenas on Internet Service Providers to obtain identifying information	
19	applicable for the Doe defendants. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
20	pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(1).	
	pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(1).	
21		strate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 22		
	On October 15, 2012, the magin herein which were served on plaintiff and whi	
22	On October 15, 2012, the magin herein which were served on plaintiff and which findings and recommendations were to be file	ch contained notice that any objections to the
22 23	On October 15, 2012, the magin herein which were served on plaintiff and which findings and recommendations were to be file	ch contained notice that any objections to the d within fourteen days after service of the findings

Doc. 10

The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 15, 2012, (Doc. No. 9) are adopted in full; and 2. Does 2-7 are dismissed from this action without prejudice. DATED: December 11, 2012 /s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE