

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FEDERAL NATIONAL
MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-1813 GEB GGH PS

vs.

EDWIN D. WHEAT, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

On August 7, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Objections were filed on September 4, 2012, and they were considered by the district judge.

This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982). As to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection has been made, the court assumes its correctness and decides the motions on the applicable law. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.

1 1983).


2 The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
3 concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the August 7, 2012 Findings and Recommendations in
4 full. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

5 1. This action is remanded to Sacramento County Superior Court, Carol Miller
6 Justice Center;

7 2. The Clerk is directed to serve a certified copy of this order on the Clerk of
8 Sacramento County Superior Court, Carol Miller Justice Center, and reference the state case
9 number (12UD02141) in the proof of service; and

10 3. The Clerk is directed to close this case.

11 Dated: October 16, 2012

12
13 
14 _____
GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
Senior United States District Judge