1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ISABEL TUBACH,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-2830 WBS KJN P
12	VS.
13	JERRY BROWN, <u>AMENDED<sup>1</sup> ORDER AND</u>
14	Defendant. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel. Plaintiff's complaint was
17	filed with the court on November 19, 2012. The court's own records reveal that on April 24,
18	2012, plaintiff filed a complaint containing virtually identical allegations against defendant
19	Brown. (No. 1:12-cv-0649 MJS P ). <sup>2</sup> Due to the duplicative nature of the present action, the
20	court will recommend that the complaint be dismissed. <sup>3</sup>
21	
22	<sup>1</sup> The case number referred to in the December 5, 2012 findings and recommendations
23	contained a typographical error. Thus, the December 5, 2012 findings and recommendations are vacated, and these amended findings and recommendations provide the corrected case number.
24	<sup>2</sup> A court may take judicial notice of court records. See MGIC Indem. Co. v. Weisman, 802 E 2d 500, 505 (0th Cir. 1086); United States v. Wilson, $\overline{621}$ E 2d 118, 110 (0th Cir. 1080)
25	803 F.2d 500, 505 (9th Cir. 1986); <u>United States v. Wilson</u> , 631 F.2d 118, 119 (9th Cir. 1980).
26	<sup>3</sup> The court notes that plaintiff raised similar allegations against defendant Brown in Case Nos. 1:12-cv-1369 DLB and 1:12-cv-01373 GBC.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the December 5, 2012 findings and
2	recommendations are vacated; and
3	IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See
4	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
5	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the District Judge assigned
6	to this case pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being
7	served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the
8	court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and
9	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time
10	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
11	Cir. 1991).
12	DATED: December 6, 2012
13	
14	Ferdal Newman
15	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16	tuba2830.23a
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I