1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UMAR SHAHID,	No. 2:14-cv-0454 JAM KJN P (TEMP)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	
14	I. ALDAZ et al.,	<u>ORDER</u>
15	Defendants.	
16		I
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, with a civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 10, 2015, plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment. (Doc.	
19	No. 30) On June 17, 2015, then-Magistrate Judge Dale A. Drozd granted defendants an extension	
20	of time to file an opposition to plaintiff's motion until after the court had ruled on their pending	
21	motion for summary judgment based on plaintiff's purported failure to exhaust administrative	
22	remedies prior to filing suit. (Doc. No. 34) On November 12, 2015, the undersigned issued	
23	findings and recommendations, recommending granting in part and denying in part defendants'	
24	motion for summary judgment. (Doc. No. 37	7) On December 29, 2015, the assigned district judge
22 23	remedies prior to filing suit. (Doc. No. 34) On November 12, 2015, the undersigned issued	

adopted the findings and recommendations in full. (Doc. No. 40) In accordance with Judge Drozd's prior order, on January 19, 2016, defendants filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. (Doc. No. 41) On February 16, 2016, plaintiff filed a motion for an extension of time to respond to defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment. (Doc. No. 42)

Good cause appearing, the court grants plaintiff's request for additional time to respond to defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment. In addition, however, due to considerations of judicial economy and the extended time period required for briefing by the parties, the court denies plaintiff's motion for summary judgment filed on June 10, 2015, without prejudice to its renewal, as appropriate, by plaintiff with his opposition to defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment. In order to renew the motion, plaintiff shall file only a notice of renewal of the motion for summary judgment. He shall not refile any of the documents he filed with the June 10, 2015 motion for summary judgment. If plaintiff files such a notice of renewal, the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment will be submitted for findings and recommendations following the filing of defendants' reply, if any, in support of their cross-motion for summary judgment.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's February 16, 2016 motion for extension of time (Doc. No. 42) is granted;
- 2. Within thirty days of the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition to defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment; and
- 3. Plaintiff's June 10, 2015 motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 30) is denied without prejudice to its renewal with the filing of plaintiff's opposition to defendants' crossmotion for summary judgment. Plaintiff shall renew his motion for summary judgment merely by filing with his opposition a notice of motion for summary judgment. Thereafter plaintiff's renewed motion for summary judgment will be submitted for findings and recommendations on all the papers on file following the filing of defendants' reply in support of their cross-motion for summary judgment.

Dated: February 24, 2016

shah0454.36opp

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE