1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CORNELL WYLE WILLIS,	No. 2:14-cv-2292 GEB AC P (TEMP)
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	
15	CALIFORNIA, et al,	
16	Respondents.	
17	A recent court order was served on petitioner's address of record and returned by the	
18	postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which	
19	requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More	
20	than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and	
21	petitioner has failed to notify the Court of a current address.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for without	
23	prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
27	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
28	Findings and Recommendations." Any respo	onse to the objections shall be filed and served within
		1

1	fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections
2	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.
3	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
4	DATED: February 2, 2016
5	allon Clane
6	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
27	
28	2