1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	MILO LEWIS,	No. 2:14-cv-2506-GEB-EFB PS
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	CALIFORNIA BUREAU OF REAL	
16	ESTATE, et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	On December 8, 2015, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend. ¹	
20	The order explained the complaint's deficiencies, gave plaintiff thirty days to file an amended	
21	complaint correcting those deficiencies, and warned plaintiff that failure to file an amended	
22	complaint would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. ECF No. 3.	
23	The deadline has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise	
24	responded to the order.	
25	////	
26	/////	
27	¹ This action in which plaintiff are p	
28	¹ This action, in which plaintiff are proceeding in propria persona, was referred to the undersigned under Local Rule $302(c)(21)$. See 28 U.S.C. § $636(b)(1)$. 1	

1	Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, and that the	
2	Clerk be directed to close this case. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.	
3	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
4	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
5	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written	
6	objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate	
7	Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time	
8	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455	
9	(9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
10	Dated: January 15, 2016.	
11	En un Foiema	
12	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	