1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID REYES,	No. 2:14-cv-2711 KJM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	A. YOUNG, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed November 23, 2015, the First Amended Complaint was dismissed, and	
18	plaintiff was granted thirty days to file a Second Amended Complaint. That period has passed,	
19	and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. For	
20	this reason, and in light of the history of this action (see ECF No. 23), the undersigned will	
21	recommend dismissal of this action.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
23	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
27	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
28	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised	that failure to file objections within the specified

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153	
2	(9th Cir. 1991).	
3	Dated: January 5, 2016 Carop U. Delany	
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9	2/reye2711.fta(3)	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	Δ	