1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DONNIE KAY SNEED, No. 2:15-cv-0572 TLN KJN P (TEMP) 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 HDSP MEDICAL STAFF et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 18 § 1983. On October 20, 2015, then-Magistrate Judge Dale A. Drozd dismissed plaintiff's 19 complaint and granted him thirty days leave to file an amended complaint. On December 3, 20 2015, the undersigned granted plaintiff an additional thirty-five days to file an amended 21 complaint. That thirty-five day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended 22 complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 23 24 prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 25 26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 27 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings

28

and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: January 20, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE snee0572.fta