1		
1 2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRENT ADLER,	No. 2:15-cv-0789 TLN CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	M. D. McDONALD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 18, 2015, defendant Anderson filed a motion for summary	
19	judgment. On January 5, 2016, plaintiff was granted an extension of time to oppose the motion	
20	(ECF No. 19); however, he did not file an opposition within that time.	
21	On February 10, 2016, plaintiff was ordered to file an opposition or a statement of non-	
22	opposition to the pending motion within thirty days. Plaintiff was informed that failure to file an	
23	opposition would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R.	
24	Civ. P. 41(b). The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the	
25	court's order.	
26	For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be	
27	dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).	
28	////	

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: March 16, 2016

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2 / adle0789.46fr