1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT BLAIR,	No. 2:15-cv-1117 AC P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION,	<u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	Respondents.	
16		
17	By order filed January 11, 2016, petitioner was ordered to show cause, within thirty days,	
18	why this action should not be dismissed for failure to exhaust his administrative remedies. ECF	
19	No. 5. Petitioner was advised that failure to respond to the order would result in a	
20	recommendation to dismiss the petition. Id. The thirty day period has now expired, and	
21	petitioner, who is represented by counsel, has not shown cause or otherwise responded to the	
22	court's order.	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court randomly assign a	
24	United States District Judge to this action.	
25	IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for failure to exhaust	
26	administrative remedies.	
27	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
28	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
		1

1	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
2	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
3	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
4	objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
5	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
6	appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
7	DATED: February 24, 2016	
8	allison clane	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	\angle	