1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NEIL A. JOHNSON,	No. 2:15-cv-1259-MCE-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a county inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
20	On January 27, 2016, the court screened plaintiff's original complaint. The complaint was	
21	dismissed with leave to amend. ECF No. 8. That order informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in	
22	his complaint and directed plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty days. Id. The	
23	court also warned plaintiff that failure to comply with the order would result in a recommendation	
24	that this action be dismissed. Id. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an	
25	amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. <sup>1</sup>	
26	<sup>1</sup> Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff	
27	was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current	
28	address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.	

1 A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for 2 imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the 3 inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or 4 without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v. 5 Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in 6 dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended 7 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 8 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule 9 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED for failure to
state a claim and for failure to prosecute. 28 U.S.C. 1915A(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal.
Local Rule 110.

13 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 14 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 15 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 16 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 17 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the 18 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 19 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to 20 appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez 21 v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

22 Dated: March 16, 2016.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Lib m

EDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE