1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIE BELTON, III,	No. 2:15-cv-1780 KJM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	M. HOOKO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prison inmate, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, who seeks relief	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 16, 2015, plaintiff's original complaint was dismissed	
19	for failure to state a claim, and plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint. (ECF	
20	No. 8.) Plaintiff's amended complaint is now before the court for screening. (ECF No. 16.)	
21	The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a	
22	governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The	
23	court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally	
24	"frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek	
25	monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).	
26	Having reviewed the amended complaint, the undersigned concludes that it fails to cure	
27	the defects of the original complaint or state a claim for relief against any defendant. Because it	
28	appears that another round of amendment would be futile, the undersigned will recommend	
		1

dismissal of this action.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: January 27, 2016

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

CAROLYN K. DELANEY 2 / belt1780.fac\_fr