1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JAMES HAMPTON,	No. 2:15-cv-1804 CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER AND	
14	C. BURNETT, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	By order filed October 20, 2015, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and plaintiff was		
18	granted thirty days' leave to file an amended complaint. That period has passed, and plaintiff has		
19	not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.		
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall assign a district		
21	judge to this action.		
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See		
23	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections		
27	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings		
28	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified		

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153		
2			
3	Dated: December 2, 2015	Carop U. Delany	
4	CA	ROLYN K. DELANEY	
5		ITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	2 / hamp1804.fta_fr		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	II		