## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 THURL H. LIGHT, No. 2:15-cv-2134 CKD P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER AND 14 J. CHARON, et al., FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 15 Defendants. 16 17 By an order filed October 13, 2015, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma 18 pauperis application within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a 19 recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and 20 plaintiff has not responded to the court's order. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district 22 court judge to this case; and IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice, 23 24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 25 26 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 27 with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings 28 and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
2	(9th Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: December 14, 2015  Carop U. Delany
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	1
9	ligh2134.fifp
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	