## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 EDWARD BRIDGEMAN, No. 2:15-cv-2578 TLN CKD P 12 Petitioner. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 CDC CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY, 15 Respondent. 16 17 By order filed January 5, 2016, the petition in this action was summarily dismissed, and 18 19 petitioner was granted thirty days to file an amended petition. Petitioner was advised that failure 20 to timely amend would result in a recommendation of dismissal. The thirty day period has now 21 expired, and petitioner has not responded to the court's order. 22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 23 24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 25 26 after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written 27 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's 28 Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the

| 1  | specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 |
|----|--|
| 2  | F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).   |
| 3  | Dated: February 17, 2016  Carop U. Delany  |
| 4  | CAROLYN K. DELANEY   |
| 5  | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | 2/brid2578.fta.hab   |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |