1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TOMMY R. HARPER,	No. 2:15-cv-2606 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, et al.,	
15	DEFARTMENT, et al.,  Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	By order filed January 6, 2016, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave	
19	to file an amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and	
20	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign	
22	a district judge to this case; and	
23	IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rul	
24	110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
28	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	

1	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that	
2	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District	
3	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
4	Dated: February 18, 2016	
5	Fredal P. Newman	
6	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7	/harp2606.fta	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		