

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JON LLOYD HOUSTON,
Plaintiff,
v.
SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S
DEPARTMENT, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-2693 GEB CKD P

ORDER

By an order filed January 5, 2016, plaintiff was ordered to either file in forma pauperis affidavit or submit the filing fee for this action within thirty days. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an affidavit or paid the filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified

1 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
2 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: February 17, 2016



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4
5
6
7
8
9 2 / hous2693.fifp
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28