1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JULIUS ANDERSON,	No. 2:16-cv-0018 TLN CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER AND	
14	CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	SOLANO, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C.		
19	§ 1983 and has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. This		
20	proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).		
21	Plaintiff has submitted a declaration that makes the showing required by 28 U.S.C. §		
22	1915(a). Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted.		
23	Plaintiff is required to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. 28 U.S.C. §§		
24	1914(a), 1915(b)(1). By separate order, the court will direct the appropriate agency to collect		
25	twenty percent of the preceding month's income credited to plaintiff's prison trust account and		
26	forward it to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in plaintiff's account exceeds \$10.00,		
27	until the filing fee is paid in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).		
28	/////		

The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court has conducted the required screening.

The court finds that plaintiff will be permitted to proceed on the following claims against the following defendants:

- 1. Claim for negligence arising under California law against defendant Hernandez; and
- 2. Claim for cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth Amendment against defendant Mendoza.

In all other respects, plaintiff does not state actionable claims. To the extent plaintiff alleges certain defendants retaliated against plaintiff for engaging in activities protected under federal law, plaintiff either fails to allege facts showing a sufficient connection between the protected activity and the alleged retaliation, fails to show actionable injury, or both. To the extent plaintiff complains about the outcome of prisoner disciplinary proceedings, plaintiff fails to allege that he was deprived of a liberty interest protected by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Plaintiff is informed that such liberty interests are "generally limited to freedom from restraint which, while not exceeding the sentence in such an unexpected manner as to give rise to protection by the Due Process Clause of its own force, [citations omitted], nonetheless imposes atypical and significant hardship on the inmate in relation to the ordinary incidents of prison life." Sandin v. Connor, 515 U.S. 472, 484 (1995). Plaintiff has not alleged that he has suffered atypical and significant hardship as a result of the disciplinary proceedings addressed on page 5 of his complaint.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is granted.
- 2. Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. Plaintiff is assessed an initial partial filing fee in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1915(b)(1). All fees shall be collected and paid in accordance with this court's order to the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation filed concurrently herewith.

/////

ande0018.1

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JULIUS ANDERSON,	No. 2:16-cv-0018 TLN CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	NOTICE OF SUBMISSION
14	CALIFRONIA MEDICAL FACILITY, SOLANO, et al.,	OF DOCUMENTS
15	Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
17	Plaintiff submits the following documents in compliance with the court's order filed	
18	:	
19	completed summons form	
20	completed USM-285 forms	
21	copies of the Complaint	
22	Complaint	
23	DATED:	
24		
25	Disinating	
26	Plaintiff	
27		
28		
	.1	1