1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANIEL DAVIS,	No. 2:16-cv-2079 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND
14	SOLTAINIAN, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On October 19, 2016, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed for failure to state a claim, and	
18	he was granted thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 6.) Plaintiff was advised that	
19	failure to file an amended complaint would result in dismissal of this action. ( <u>Id.</u> ) The thirty days	
20	has passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court assign a district judge to	
22	this action.	
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
24	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
28	////	

with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: December 1, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 2 / davi2079.fta\_fr