1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MICHAEL A. BRUZZONE, No. 2:18-cv-0865 KJM DB PS 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 INTEL CORPORATION, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge as provided by Local Rule 302(c)(21). 19 On December 17, 2019, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which 20 were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the 21 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days after service of the findings 22 and recommendations. Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this 24 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the 25 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. 26 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 27 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 17, 2019 (ECF No. 51) are adopted 28 in full, including the finding that this action is frivolous; 1

1	2. Defendant Intel's June 24, 2019 motion to dismiss (ECF No. 26) is granted;
2	3. Defendant ARM's July 19, 2019 motion to dismiss (ECF No. 34) is granted;
3	4. The April 30, 2019 second amended complaint is dismissed without leave to amend;
4	5. Defendant Intel's June 26, 2019 motion to declare plaintiff vexatious (ECF No. 28) is
5	denied;
6	6. Defendant ARM's July 19, 2019 motion to declare plaintiff vexatious (ECF No. 35) is
7	denied;
8	7. Plaintiff's April 10, 2018 motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is denied;
9	8. Plaintiff's July 1, 2019 motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 33) is denied;
10	and
11	9. This action is closed.
12	DATED: February 12, 2020.
13	10 A m. 10
14	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	·
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
7.0	