UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES BARKSDALE,

         Plaintiff,

     v.

JUAN,

         Defendant.

No. 2:19-cv-02071-KJM-CKD

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 15, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

////

1

1   The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*,

2 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed

3 de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law

4 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court

5 . . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be

6 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

7   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

8   1. The findings and recommendations filed October 15, 2020, are adopted in full; and

9   2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

10 DATED: December 15, 2020.

11   _____

                                     CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28