Dockets.Justia.com

This matter came before the Court for hearing on November 16, 2012, pursuant to the

Order Granting Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

("Preliminary Approval Order"), on the application of the settling parties for approval of the

settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 ("Settlement

Agreement")². Due and adequate notice having been given of the settlement as required in said

Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings held herein, including the

objection(s) to the proposed settlement or fee application, and otherwise being fully informed in

the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

AND DECREED that:

This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement
 Agreement, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings set forth in the Settlement
 Agreement.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties to the Action, including all members of the Settlement Class.

- 3. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(2), the Court certifies the proposed Settlement Class for the purposes of the settlement.
- 4. The Court appoints Plaintiffs Elizabeth Orlando and Joseph Ruwe as the named plaintiffs for the Settlement Class.
- 5. The Court designates Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP and Chavez & Gertler LLP as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 6. This Court finds and concludes that the applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(2) have been satisfied with respect to the Settlement Class and settlement, and specifically, that: (a) the number of members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder of all members thereof is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) named plaintiffs ' claims are typical of the claims of the

- 1 -

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE – 07-cv-03679 JSW

¹ ECF No. 110, July 27, 2012.

² ECF No. 104, May 29, 2012.

Settlement Class they seek to represent; (d) named plaintiffs and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class and will continue to do so; and (e) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class.

7. The Action is permanently certified as a class action on behalf of the following persons (the "Settlement Class"):

All California current and former Verizon Wireless retail wireless customers who paid a \$5 minimum late fee or paid a \$15 reconnect fee" during the Class Period (for the \$5 late fee) or the Sub-Class Period (for the \$15 reconnect fee).

The Class Period is defined to mean June 12, 2003, through April 26, 2012. The Sub-Class Period is defined to mean December 1, 2004, through April 26, 2012.

- 8. This certification is for settlement purposes only and shall not constitute, nor be construed as, an admission on the part of the Defendant that this Action, or any other proposed or certified class action, is appropriate for any other purpose, including, without limitation, for trial class treatment.
- 9. Except as to any individual claim of those persons who have validly and timely requested exclusion from the Classes, the Action and all claims contained therein, including all of the Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice as to the named plaintiffs and the other members of the class, and as against each and all of the Released Persons. The parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- approves the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to, and is in the best interests of, the named plaintiffs, the Class and each of the class members. This Court further finds the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the named plaintiffs, the class members and the Defendant. Accordingly, the settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved in all respects and shall be consummated in accordance with its terms and provisions. The settling parties are hereby directed to perform the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. Upon the Effective Date, the named plaintiffs and each of the class members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Parties.
- 12. Upon the Effective Date, all class members and anyone claiming through or on behalf of any of them, will be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, or administrative forum, asserting the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.
- 13. Upon the Effective Date hereof, each of the Released Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged the named plaintiffs, each and all of the class members, and Class Counsel from all claims (including unknown claims), arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims.
- 14. The distribution of the notice as provided for in the Preliminary Approval Order constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the class who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, and any other applicable law.
- 15. The Court hereby approves the Participation Awards in the amount of \$2,500 to Plaintiff Elizabeth Orlando and \$2,500 to Plaintiff Joseph Ruwe in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and finds that such awards are fair and reasonable.
- 16. The Court hereby approves payment to the notice and claims administrator, Gilardi & Co. LLC, in the amount of \$1,640,792.
- 17. The Court hereby awards to Class Counsel an award of (a) attorneys' fees in the amount of \$2,500,000; and (b) reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$141,427.84. In

-4-

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE – 07-cv-03679 JSW

the people of California. The Court finds that the *cy pres* recipient has sufficient nexus to the claims in this case, and the objectives of the underlying statutes. Moreover, the *cy pres* recipient is focused exclusively on the people of California – the same geographic distribution as the Settlement Class in this case.

- 19. The Court has considered the objections of five class members and finds them to be without merit. and accepts their late-filing.
- 20. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any action taken pursuant to the settlement Agreement or to implement its terms shall in any event be: (1) construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as and/or deemed to be, evidence for any purpose, other than such proceedings which may be necessary to consummate or enforce the terms of the Settlement, except that the Released Parties may file the Final Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction; or (2) disclosed or referred to for any purpose or offered or received in evidence, in any further proceeding in the Action, or any other civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding against Defendant or any of the Released Parties.
- 21. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor the settlement contained therein, nor any action taken pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or to implement its terms, is or may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission by or against the named plaintiffs that any of their claims in the action are or were without merit.
- 22. The Court finds that during the course of the Action, the settling parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.
- 23. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement or the Effective Date does not occur, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in

Case3:07-cv-03679-JSW Document120-1 Filed10/12/12 Page7 of 8

1	connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the
2	Settlement Agreement.
3	24. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order and Final Judgment and
4	immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed pursuant to Rule 54(a) of the
5	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	DATED: November 16, 2012
9 10	THE HONOYAULY JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11	
12	Submitted by:
13	Dated: October 12, 2012
14	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
15	By /s/ Jeff D. Friedman
16	JEFF D. FRIEDMAN
17	Shana E. Scarlett (217895) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
18	Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: (510) 725-3000
19	Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 jefff@hbsslaw.com
20	shanas@hbsslaw.com
21	Steve W. Berman (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
22	1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101
23	Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
24	steve@hbsslaw.com
25	
26	
27	

28