1	
2	
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	WILLIAM M. COX, JR, No. C 09-02392 SI
7	
8	Plaintiff,ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM FINAL JUDGMENT
9	JOSE VEGA; DOCTOR POTTS; and
10	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO,
11	Defendants.
12	/
13	On August 18, 2009, this Court entered an order determining that pro se plaintiff William Cox's
14	complaint and amended complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.
15	Accordingly, the complaint was dismissed without prejudice and judgment was entered. For these
16	reasons, plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") was denied.
17	On September 3, 2009, plaintiff filed a motion captioned "Proof of Income Reinstate My Case."
18	Plaintiff has submitted what purports to be documentation of his disability benefits, to demonstrate that
19	he is unable to pay a filing fee. The Court will interpret this filing as a motion for relief from final
20	judgment under F.R.Civ.P. 60(b). Plaintiff's instant motion does not remedy the deficiencies in
21	plaintiff's complaint. The motion is DENIED. [Docket No. 16]
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	
24	Dated: September 11, 2009
25	SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge
26	Onice States District Judge
27	
28	