

1 Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)
 Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)
 2 Sandra West (SBN 250389)
 Samantha H. Knox (SBN 254427)
 3 Micah G. Block (SBN 270712)
 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
 4 1600 El Camino Real
 Menlo Park, California 94025
 5 Telephone: (650) 752-2000
 Facsimile: (650) 752-2111

6 Jonathan D. Martin (*pro hac vice*)
 7 Bradley R. Hansen (*pro hac vice*)
 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
 8 450 Lexington Avenue
 New York, New York 10017
 9 Telephone: (212) 450-4000
 Facsimile: (212) 701-5800

10 *Attorneys for Defendants*
 11 *Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei*
Optoelectronics Corp.), Chi Mei Optoelectronics
 12 *USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.*

13
 14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 15 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

16 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
 17 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

) CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711
)
) MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI

18 This Document Relates to Individual
 Case No. 3:11-cv-711

19 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through
 20 ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney
 General,

) **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
) **ORDER REGARDING TIME TO**
) **RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT**

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, *et al.*,

24 Defendants.

25
 26
 27
 28

1 The undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, hereby respectfully request
2 an extension of the deadline for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei
3 Optoelectronics Corporation), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.
4 (collectively, the “Chi Mei Defendants”), and Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and
5 Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. (collectively, the “Hitachi Defendants”), to respond to the
6 amended complaint filed by Plaintiff State of New York on March 15, 2011, in the above-captioned
7 litigation (the “Amended Complaint”).

8 WHEREAS the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, jointly with other Defendants
9 in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on May 5, 2011;

10 WHEREAS the Court entered an order granting in part and denying in part Defendants’
11 joint motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on August 9, 2011;

12 WHEREAS on August 25, 2011, the Court entered an order extending Defendants’ deadline
13 to answer the Amended Complaint until September 14, 2011;

14 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of New York and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi
15 Defendants have agreed to a settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation subject to
16 board approval;

17 WHEREAS the parties would benefit from additional time to continue to negotiate the
18 terms of that settlement;

19 WHEREAS further extending the time for the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants
20 to answer the Amended Complaint would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already
21 fixed by the Court;

22 THEREFORE, Plaintiff State of New York and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi
23 Defendants, by their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

24 The Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants will have until October 25, 2011
25 to answer the Amended Complaint.
26
27
28

1 Dated: September 13, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

2 ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
3 Attorney General of the State of New York
4

5 By: /s/ Richard L. Schwartz
6 Richard L. Schwartz

7 Richard L. Schwartz*
8 Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau
9 John A. Ioannou*
10 Assistant Attorney General
11 120 Broadway, 26th Floor
12 New York, New York 10271
13 (212) 416-8282 (voice)
14 (212) 416-6015 (fax)
15 Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

16 * Automatic *Pro Hac Vice* Admission Pursuant to
17 Pretrial Order No. 1, Dated July 3, 2007 (Waiving
18 Civil L.R. 11-3)

19 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

20 By: /s/ Sandra West
21 Sandra West (SBN 250389)

22 Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)
23 Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)
24 Sandra West (SBN 250389)
25 1600 El Camino Real
26 Menlo Park, California 94025
27 (650) 752-2000 / (650) 752-2111
28 chris.hockett@davispolk.com
neal.potischman@davispolk.com
sandra.west@davispolk.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux
Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.),
Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan
Co., Ltd.*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: /s/ Kent M. Roger
Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)

Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 442-1001
Facsimile: (415) 442-1001

*Attorney for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi
Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices
(USA), Inc.*

1 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this
2 document has been obtained from all parties whose signatures are indicated by a "confirmed"
3 signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: September 13, 2011




Sandra West (SBN 250389)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered the foregoing stipulation, and for good cause appearing,
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____ 9/13 _____, 2011



The Honorable Susan Illston
United States District Judge