

1 PETER S. HECKER (Bar No. 66159)  
 phecker@sheppardmullin.com  
 2 DAVID E. SNYDER (Bar No. 262001)  
 dsnyder@sheppardmullin.com  
 3 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP  
 Four Embarcadero Center, Seventeenth Floor  
 4 San Francisco, CA 94111-4109  
 Telephone: 415.434.9100  
 5 Facsimile: 415.434.3947

6 FRANK G. BURT (*pro hac vice*)  
 fburt@cfjblaw.com  
 7 W. GLENN MERTEN (*pro hac vice*)  
 gmerten@cfjblaw.com  
 8 BRIAN P. PERRYMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
 bperryman@cfjblaw.com  
 9 CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A.  
 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW  
 10 Suite 400 East  
 Washington, DC 20007-0805  
 11 Telephone: (202) 965-8100  
 Facsimile: (202) 965-8104

12 Attorneys for Defendant  
 13 American Security Insurance Company

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 Stephen Ellsworth, Marilyn Weaver, and  
 18 Lawrence and Donene Skelley, as individuals  
 and as representatives of the classes and on  
 19 behalf of the general public,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 U.S. Bank, N.A., and American Security  
 Insurance Company,

23 Defendants.  
 24

Case No. C12-2506-LB

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ORDER TO CONTINUE SETTLEMENT  
 CONFERENCE; DECLARATION OF  
 PETER S. HECKER IN SUPPORT OF  
 SAME**

25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1                    **JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE**

2                    Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-12, 6-1(b) and 6-2, it is hereby stipulated by and between the  
3 parties, through their respective attorneys, that:

4                    WHEREAS, U.S. Bank filed a Rule 23(f) Petition for Permission to Appeal Class Action  
5 Certification (“Rule 23(f) Petition”) with the Ninth Circuit on June 27, 2014, and Plaintiffs  
6 Stephen Ellsworth, Marilyn Weaver, Donene Skelley, and Lawrence Skelley (“Plaintiffs”) filed an  
7 opposition to the Rule 23(f) Petition on July 10, 2014;

8                    WHEREAS, as this time the Rule 23(f) Petition remains pending before the Ninth Circuit;

9                    WHEREAS, while U.S. Bank’s Rule 23(f) Petition is pending, the Parties are working and  
10 will continue to work cooperatively to prepare the class data necessary for class notice and  
11 drafting class notice papers;

12                    WHEREAS, Defendants do not anticipate that they will be able to provide the class data in  
13 time for the September 11, 2014 Settlement Conference (but do anticipate that they will be able to  
14 provide the data shortly thereafter);

15                    WHEREAS, all parties agree that it would be premature to conduct the settlement  
16 conference at this time;

17                    WHEREAS, on August 7, 2014, the Court entered an order setting a further Settlement  
18 Conference for September 11, 2014. (ECF No. 253.)

19                    WHEREAS, on August 15, 2014, Magistrate Judge Beeler at the request of the parties  
20 entered an Order continuing the Case Management Conference to September 25, 2014;

21                    WHEREAS, the parties agree that in the interest of judicial economy, the Settlement  
22 Conference scheduled for September 11, 2014 should be continued until October 7, 2014.

23                    NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT, subject to  
24 the Court’s approval, the Settlement Conference currently scheduled for September 11, 2014 at  
25 9:30 a.m. shall be continued to October 7, 2014 at 9:30 a.m.

26  
27  
28



1 **DECLARATION OF PETER S. HECKER**

2 I, Peter S. Hecker, declare:

3 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before this Court. I am a partner  
4 with the law firm of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton, counsel for Defendant American  
5 Security Insurance Company (“American Security”) in this case. I have personal knowledge  
6 of the facts set forth below.

7 2. As set forth in the above stipulation, the parties have stipulated that the  
8 Settlement Conference scheduled for September 11, 2014 should be continued to October 7, 2014.

9 3. The reason for the proposed extension is to promote efficiency and judicial  
10 economy by continuing the Settlement Conference while the parties prepare the data for class  
11 notice and related matters, and during the pendency of the Rule 23(f) motion now pending before  
12 the Ninth Circuit, and until after the Case Management Conference scheduled for September 25,  
13 2014.

14 4. Pursuant to Civil L.R 6-2(a)(2), American Security provides the Court with a list  
15 of the following time modifications that have occurred in this case:

- 16 • On June 14, 2012, the parties stipulated to extend U.S. Bank’s time to respond to  
17 the Complaint until July 3, 2012. (ECF No. 13)
- 18 • On July 9, 2012, the parties stipulated to extend Plaintiff’s time to respond to  
19 U.S. Bank’s motion to dismiss until July 24, 2012. (ECF No. 24)
- 20 • On August 3, 2012, the parties stipulated to extend American Security’s time to  
21 respond to the Complaint until August 31, 2012. (ECF No. 30)
- 22 • On August 17, 2012, pursuant to stipulation, the Court entered a briefing  
23 schedule providing that Plaintiff’s response to U.S. Bank’s motion to compel arbitration  
24 was due on August 27, 2012 and U.S. Bank’s reply was due on August 30, 2012. (ECF  
25 No. 42)
- 26 • On August 28, 2012, the Court continued the hearing on U.S. Bank’s motion  
27 to compel arbitration to September 19, 2012 at 10:30 a.m. (ECF No. 48)
- 28 • On August 31, 2012, pursuant to stipulation, the Court continued the  
Case Management Conference to September 19, 2012 at 10:30 a.m. (ECF No. 56)
- On September 7, 2012, pursuant to stipulation, the Court extended Plaintiff’s time  
to respond to American Security’s motion to dismiss to September 28, 2012, and  
continued the hearing on the motion to dismiss until November 1, 2012 at 11:00 a.m.  
(ECF No. 81)

- 1
- 2 • On September 10, 2012, the Court continued the Case Management Conference to November 1, 2012 at 11:00 a.m.
  - 3 • On September 13, 2012, the Court continued the hearing on U.S. Bank's motion to compel arbitration, from 10:30 a.m. on September 19, 2012 to 11:00 a.m. on the same day.
  - 4
  - 5 • On September 13, 2012, pursuant to stipulation, the Court extended Plaintiff's time to respond to American Security's motion to dismiss until September 28, 2012. (ECF No. 62)
  - 6
  - 7 • On October 29, 2012, the Court vacated the motion hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss scheduled to take place on November 1, 2012 at 11:00 a.m. and scheduled the motion hearing to take place November 15, 2012 at 11:00 a.m., and also continued the Case Management Conference to November 15, 2012 at 11:00 a.m.
  - 8
  - 9
  - 10 • On November 14, 2012, the Court vacated the Case Management Conference scheduled to take place on November 15, 2012 at 11:00 a.m. and scheduled the Case Management Conference to instead take place on February 7, 2013 at 10:30 a.m.
  - 11
  - 12 • On December 26, 2012, the parties stipulated to extend American Security's time to respond to the Complaint until January 3, 2013. (ECF No. 81)
  - 13
  - 14 • On January 2, 2013, pursuant to stipulation, the Court ordered the briefing schedule extended such that American Security's response to the Complaint was due on January 3, 2013. (ECF No. 82).
  - 15
  - 16 • On January 29, 2013, the Court continued the Case Management Conference to February 28, 2013 at 10:30 a.m.
  - 17
  - 18 • On March 4, 2013, the Court entered a Case Management and Pretrial Order, scheduled a further Case Management Conference for June 27, 2013, and referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Nathaniel M. Cousins for settlement purposes. (ECF No. 91)
  - 19
  - 20 • On March 5, 2013, the Court scheduled a Settlement Conference for July 9, 2013. (ECF No. 92)
  - 21
  - 22 • On March 29, 2013, the Court continued the Case Management Conference from 10:30 a.m. on June 27, 2013 to 11:00 a.m. on the same day.
  - 23
  - 24 • On May 30, 2013, at the request of the parties, Magistrate Judge Cousins rescheduled the Settlement Conference for July 26, 2013. (ECF No. 95)
  - 25
  - 26 • On June 21, 2013, at the request of the parties, the Court continued the Case Management conference to August 22, 2013. (ECF No. 99)
  - 27
  - 28 • On August 15, 2014, the Court continued the Case Management Conference to September 25, 2014.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5. Because the requested continuance is for a small period of time, and because as the parties agree, it is premature to proceed with the Settlement Conference at this time, the requested extension should not have any significant impact on the schedule for the case.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 29th day of August 2014 at San Francisco, California.

*/s/ Peter S. Hecker*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PETER S. HECKER