1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MICHAEL J. FOX (SBN 170854) ELANA J. MOEDER (SBN 252909) PITE DUNCAN, LLP 1820 East First Street, Suite 420 Santa Ana, CA 92705 (714) 285-2633 (714) 285-2668 facsimile E-Mail: emoeder@piteduncan.com Attorneys for Defendant HOMECOMINGS Finerein as Homecomings Financial Services L	LC] and ETS SERVICES (SECTO)	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL.	IFORNIA - SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	LUIS SALAZAR-In Pro Per,	Case No. 5:09-cv-02896-RS	
12	Plaintiff,	Assigned to Hon. James Judge Ware	
13	v.	Courtroom 8	
14	HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL	Original Complaint Filed: May 22, 2009	
15	SERVICES LLC, QUANTUM SERVICING CORPORATION, ETS	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
16	SERVICES LLC DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS FOUND AS MOOT	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	Counsel for Defendants report that the	y have met and conferred regarding ADR and	
20	have reached the following stipulation pursua	nt to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
21	The parties agree to participate in the f	Collowing ADR process:	
22	Court Processes:		
23	Non-binding Arbitration (ADR	L.R. 4)	
24	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)		
25	Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
26			
27	///		
28			
i	STIDLI ATION AND IDPODOSED C	DDED SELECTING ADD DDOCESSON(20	

l II		
1	1 Private Process:	
2	2 X Private ADR (please identify process and provider): Private	
3	Mediation. Provider to be selected once there is an operative complaint.	
4	The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:	
5	5 \underline{X} the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the	3 order
6	6 referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
7	7 other requested deadline	
8	8	
9	9 Counsel for HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL, LLC and ETS SERVICES, LI	C met
10	and conferred via telephone with Plaintiff on October 7, 2009. At that time, P	laintiff
11	indicated he did not want to discuss this lawsuit with our office. Counsel left a voi	cemail
12	for Plaintiff on October 12, 2009 in a second attempt to meet and confer, but Plaintiff	has not
13	returned the phone call. Therefore, counsel is unaware of Plaintiff's preferred ADR p	rocess.
14	14	
15	Dated: October, 2009 By: LUIS SALAZAR, PLAINTIPF IN PR	<u>O PER</u>
16	16	
17	Dated: October 15, 2009 PITE DUNCAN, LLP	
18		,
19	By: ELANA J. MOEDER	
20	Attorneys for Defendant HOMECON FINANCIAL, LLC and ETS SERVICES,	AINGS LLC
21	!	
22		
23	Mart & Ka	
24	By: CHRISTINA D. ROVIRA	
25	SBRYICING, A CLAYTON HOLI	NTUM DINGS
26		
27		
28	[∠] ō	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS894638.wpd

1	<u>ORDER</u>		
2	Based upon the Stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown,		
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:		
4	The Stipulation selecting ADR program is denied as MOOT. The Court has entered final		
5	judgment on October 28, 2009.		
6			
7			
8	Date: October 29, 2009		
10	United States District Court Judge		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	•		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

2	U.S. District Court, Northern District of California - San Jose Division Case No. 5:09-cv-02896 RS Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-09-CV-143165		
3	Durita Citata Country Superior Court Case 110, 1 05 C 1 110 100		
4	DECLARATION OF SERVICE		
5	I, the undersigned, declare: I am, and was at the time of service of the papers herein referred to, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to this action. My business address is 1820 E. First Street, Suite 420, Santa Ana, California 92705.		
6	On October 2 2009. I served the following document(s): STIPULATION AND		
7 8	[PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS on the parties in this action addressed as follows:		
9	Luis Salazar Christina D. Rovira, Esq.		
10	3889 Sark Way WRIGHT FINLEY & ZAK San Jose, CA 95111 4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 280		
11	Tel: (408) 666-3166/Fax: not provided Newport Beach, CA 92660 Plaintiff, in pro per Tel: (949) 477-5050/Fax: (949) 477-9200		
12	Email: <u>rfinlay@wrightlegal.net</u> Email: <u>crovira@wrightlegal.net</u>		
13	Attorneys for Defendant Quantum Servicing, a Clayton Holdings Company		
14	X BY MAIL: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated above. I are		
15	readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing		
16	in affidavit.		
17	BY ELECTRONIC TRANSFER: I caused the above-entitled document(s) to be electronically filed and served on the interested parties to the action via CM/ECF e-service at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/ . A copy of the transmission receipt will be maintained		
19	BY FACSIMILE: I personally sent to the addressee's facsimile number a true copy of the		
20	above-described document(s). I verified transmission with a confirmation printed out by the facsimile machine used. Thereafter, I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed and		
21	mailed as indicated above.		
2223	STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
24 25	FEDERAL: I certify/declare/state under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.		
26	Executed October, 2009, at Santa Ana, California.		
27	Hilda Mendoza		
28	HILDA MENDOZA		