

1 MICHAEL E. DERGOSITS (State Bar No. 118206)  
 2 IGOR SHOIKET (State Bar No. 190066)  
 3 DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP  
 4 Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 410  
 5 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 705-6377  
 Facsimile: (415) 750-6383  
 Email: mdergosits@dergnoah.com  
 Email: ishoiket@dergnoah.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 7 IMPEVA LABS, INC.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11  
 12 IMPEVA LABS, INC.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 SYSTEM PLANNING CORPORATION,  
 16 SYSTEM PLANNING CORPORATION D/B/A  
 GLOBALTRAK AND RICHARD C. MEYERS

17 Defendants.  
 18  
 19  
 20

) Civil Action No.: 5:12-cv-00125-EJD

) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING  
 ) FOURTH EXTENSION OF TIME FOR  
 ) CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE  
 ) AND RELATED DATES

21  
 22  
 23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto pursuant to  
 24 Local Rule 7-12, and with the approval of this Court, that the ADR deadlines, Case  
 25 Management Conference and related dates, be extended from their presently noticed  
 26 dates based upon the following:  
 27

1 WHEREAS, on or about March 6, 2012 the parties submitted their first  
2 stipulation to extend the time for the ADR deadlines as well as the Case Management  
3 Conference (“CMC”) and related dates, (Dkt. 21), and, on March 7, 2012, the Court  
4 denied the request on the grounds that Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint rendered  
5 Defendants’ motion to dismiss moot; and

6 WHEREAS, thereafter on or about April 3, 2012 this Court, in response to the  
7 parties’ stipulation, granted the parties’ request that the ADR deadlines and CMC and  
8 related filing dates be continued due to the proximity with the hearing on Defendants’  
9 Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint and the CMC, both scheduled for  
10 April 27, 2012 (Dkt. 25); and

11 WHEREAS, as a result, the Court continued the CMC from April 27 to June 1,  
12 the ADR deadlines from April 6 to May 13 and the date for filing the Rule 26 Report,  
13 submission of the Initial Disclosures and the Case Management Statement from April  
14 20 to May 26, 2012; and

15 WHEREAS, on April 25, 2012 the Court continued the hearing on the motion  
16 to dismiss from April 27 to May 11, 2012 (Dkt. 28) and, thereafter, on May 8, 2012,  
17 vacated the hearing and took the motion under submission without oral argument  
18 (Dkt. 29);

19 WHEREAS, on May 15, 2012, pursuant to the parties’ stipulation, the Court  
20 entered its order (Dkt. 31) ordering the ADR deadline to be continued to July 13,  
21 2012, the Rule 26(f) Report, Initial Disclosures and Case Management Statement to  
22 August 3 and the CMC to August 10, 2012;

23 WHEREAS, on July 18, 2012, pursuant to the parties’ stipulation, the Court  
24 entered its order (Dkt. 33) ordering the ADR deadline to be continued to October 5,  
25 2012, the Rule 26(f) Report, Initial Disclosures and Case Management Statement to  
26  
27

1 November 2 and the CMC to November 9, 2012;

2 WHEREAS, on August 23, 2012, the Court issued its Order Granting in Part  
3 and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint  
4 (Dkt. 34);

5 WHEREAS, on September 4, 2012, Plaintiff filed its Second Amended  
6 Complaint (Dkt. 35);

7 WHEREAS, on September 21, 2012, Defendants filed Defendants' Motion to  
8 Dismiss the Third Cause of Action of the Second Amended Complaint F.R.C.P.  
9 12(B)(1) and 12(B)(6) with a hearing date of December 14, 2012 (Dkt. 36);

10 WHEREAS, under the present schedule, the parties are to meet and confer  
11 regarding the Case Management Statement on or before November 2, prepare and file  
12 the Rule 26 Report, Initial Disclosures and Case Management Statement by November  
13 2, and attend the Case Management Conference on November 9, 2012; and

14 WHEREAS, it continues to be the parties belief that preparation of the Case  
15 Management Statement, Initial Disclosures and Rule 26 Report is premature at this  
16 juncture for the following reasons: (1) the Court's decision with respect to  
17 Defendants' motion to dismiss will have an impact upon virtually all of the filings in  
18 preparation for the Case Management Conference insofar as the claims that will be at  
19 issue, and the discovery required as between the parties as well as third parties; (2)  
20 Defendants have not filed an answer to the Second Amended Complaint, so any  
21 affirmative defenses it may assert must await the Court's decision on the motion; and  
22 (3) Defendants have made no determination as to any counterclaims or possible third  
23 party complaints as such would await the decision of the Court on the motion to  
24 dismiss;  
25

26 Accordingly, the foregoing issues, including the need for Defendants'  
27

1 responsive pleading, lead the parties to believe that to conserve judicial resources the  
2 CMC should be continued so as to permit this Court to rule on Defendants' motion  
3 and, thereafter, allow the parties to prepare for the CMC based upon this Court's  
4 ruling and the pleadings placing the matter at issue.

5         The parties therefore respectfully request that the Case Management  
6 Conference, and related filing deadlines be revised as set forth below:

Event	Present Date	New Date
CMC	November 9, 2012	February 1, 2013

1  
2 Rule 26(f) Report;  
3 Initial Disclosures;  
4 Case Management  
5 Statement.

November 2, 2012

January 25, 2013

6  
7 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

8  
9 Dated: October 30, 2012

/s/ Michael E. Dergosits

MICHAEL E. DERGOSITS (State Bar No. 118206)  
IGOR SHOIKET (State Bar No. 190066)  
DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 410  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 705-6377  
Facsimile: (415) 750-6383  
Email: mdergosits@dergnoah.com  
Email: ishoiket@dergnoah.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff IMPEVA LABS, INC.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 Dated: October 30, 2012


/s/ Thomas S. Kidde

DANIEL C. DECARLO (State Bar No. 160307)  
THOMAS S. KIDDE (State Bar No. 61717)  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
Telephone: (213) 250-1800  
Facsimile: (213) 250-7900  
Email: decarlo@lbbslaw.com  
Email: kidde@lbbslaw.com

Attorneys for DEFENADNTS SYSTEM PLANNING  
CORPORATION, SYSTEM PLANNING  
CORPORATION D/B/A GLOBAL TRAK AND  
RICHARD C. MEYERS

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

1 Dated: October 31, 2012



Hon. Edward J. Davila, U.S. District Court Judge

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **ATTESTATION**

2 I hereby attest pursuant to General Order 45.X.B. that concurrence in the electronic filing of  
3 this document has been obtained from each of the signatories.

4  
5 Dated: October 30, 2012

/s/ Michael E. Dergosits

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic  
3 service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's ECF System

4  
5 Dated: October 30, 2012

/s/ Michael E. Dergosits

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28