1	NEWMEYER & DILLION LLP		
2	J. BRIAN MORROW, CBN 191392  brian.morrow@ndlf.com  DRANDON A. GLOUSE CBN 202102		
3	BRANDON A. CLOUSE, CBN 293102 brandon.clouse@ndlf.com		
4	1277 Treat Blvd, Suite 600 Walnut Creek, California 94597 (925) 988-3200; (925) 988-3290 (Fax)		
5	(923) 988-3200; (923) 988-3290 (Fax)  Attorneys for Defendants		
6	WALSH/DEMARIA JOINT VENTURE V, WALSH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, THE WALSH GROUP		
7	LTD., and DEMARIA BUILDING COMPANY, INC.		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for the Use and Benefit of J.R. CONKEY &	CASE NO.: 5:14-cv-03360-PSG	
13	ASSOCIATES, INC., a California corporation; and J.R. CONKEY &	STIPULATION TO RELATE CASES	
14	ASSOCIATES, INC., a California corporation,	AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER	
15	Plaintiff,		
16	vs.		
17	WALSH/DEMARIA JOINT VENTURE V,	FILE DATE: July 24, 2014 TRIAL DATE SET: No Date Set	
18	an Illinois joint venture; WALSH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, AN Illinois corporation; THE WALSH GROUP LTD., an		
19	Illinois corporation; DEMARIA BUILDING COMPANY, INC., a Michigan corporation;		
20	TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA, a Connecticut		
21	corporation; and DOES 1 through 10		
22 23	Defendants.		
24			
25	STIPULATION T	O RELATE CASES	
26	Defendants, Walsh/DeMaria Joint Ventu	are V ("Walsh/DeMaria"), Walsh Construction	
27	Company ("Walsh Construction"), The Walsh C	Group Ltd. ("Walsh Group"), DeMaria Building	
28	Company, Inc. ("DeMaria Building") (collectively "Walsh"), Travelers Casualty and Surety		
	[ ·		

1	Company of America ("Travelers") and Liberty Mutual Insurance Company ("Liberty Mutual")	
2	(collectively the "Sureties"), Plaintiffs, The United States of America, for the use and benefit of	
3	J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc. and J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc. ("Conkey") and Plaintiff, The	
4	United States of America, for the use and benefit of Fought & Company, Inc. Fought &	
5	Company, Inc. ("Fought") (all collectively referred to as the "Conkey and Fought Parties"), by	
6	and through their respective counsel of record herein, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:	
7	RELATED ACTIONS	
8	WHEREAS, Plaintiff, J.R. Conkey, commenced an action entitled J.R. Conkey v. Walsh	
9	DeMaria Joint Venture V. et al. (N.D. Cal.) Case No. 5:14-cv-03360-PSG ("Conkey Action") by	
10	filing a complaint on or about July 24, 2014, in the United States District Court for the Northern	
11	District of California, including a cause of action for recovery on Miller Act Payment Bond,	
12	pursuant to 40 U.S.C. §§ 3131-3134, relating to monies allegedly owed for work performed at a	
13	Veterans Administration ("VA") hospital in Palo Alto;	
14	WHEREAS, Plaintiff, Fought, commenced an action entitled Fought v. Walsh DeMaria	
15	Joint Venture V. et al. (N.D. Cal.) Case No. 5:14-cv-04401-HRL ("Fought Action") by filing a	
16	complaint on or about September 30, 2014, in the United States District Court for the Northern	
17	District of California, including a Miller Act Payment Bond claim for relief, pursuant to 40	
18	U.S.C. §§ 3131 et seq., relating to monies allegedly owed for work performed at a Veterans	
19	Administration hospital in Palo Alto;	
20	WHEREAS, Defendants, Walsh, filed a counter-claim against Conkey on or about	
21	December 8, 2014 in the Conkey Action, inter alia, for failing to defend and indemnify Walsh	
22	and its Sureties against the Fought Action and for breaching the Subcontract Agreement;	
23	WHEREAS, Defendants, Walsh, filed a cross-claim in the Fought Action against Conkey	
24	on or about December 9, 2014 asserting the same claims alleged in its counter-claim against	
25	Conkey in the Conkey Action;	
26	RELATIONSHIP OF THE ACTIONS	
27	WHEREAS, Defendant Walsh/DeMaria entered into a contract (the "Prime Contract")	
28	with the United States of America, Department of Veteran Affairs, for the construction of the VA	

1	Palo Alto Health Care System Capital Asset Improvements, Phase I, Contract No. VA101CFM-	
2	C-0168 ("the Project"), also known as the VA Hospital, Palo Alto Polytrauma Blind Rehab	
3	Center, 3801 Miranda Avenue, Palo Alto, CA;	
4	WHEREAS, on or about October 7, 2011, Walsh/DeMaria entered into and executed a	
5	Payment Bond with Travelers, Travelers Bond No. 105669430, and its co-surety, Liberty Mutual	
6	Liberty Mutual Bond No. 013124426, with Walsh as principal and Travelers and Liberty Mutual	
7	as Surety;	
8	WHEREAS, on or about February 3, 2012, Walsh/DeMaria entered into a written	
9	Subcontract Agreement with Conkey, Subcontract Number 212010S01 for certain labor and	
10	materials to be provided by Conkey on the Project;	
11	WHEREAS, on or about October 17, 2012, Conkey entered into a sub-subcontract with	
12	Fought for certain labor, materials, and equipment on the Project;	
13	CRITERIA FOR RELATED ACTIONS PER L.R. 3-12(a)	
14	WHEREAS, Civil Local Rule 3-12 provides that actions are related when:	
15	(1) The actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction of event; and	
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	(2) It appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges;	
19	WHEREAS, the actions concern substantially the same parties because Walsh, Travelers,	
20	Liberty Mutual and Conkey are parties to both actions;	
21	WHEREAS, the actions concern substantially the same transaction or event because the	
22	lawsuits arise from the same work for building demolition, for the same Project in Palo Alto for	
23	the VA, concerning the same contracts between Walsh and the VA, Walsh and Conkey, and	
24	Conkey and Fought, and claims are being made under the same bonds issued by the same	
25	Sureties. Further, Walsh filed the same claims for relief in its counter-claim and cross-claim	
26	against Conkey in the Conkey Action and Fought Action;	
27	WHEREAS, there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense and	
28	conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different judges because Walsh's counter-	

1 claim and cross-claim are the same in both cases. Further, the payment issues are substantially 2 the same in both cases because they concern substantially the same parties, facts and law, as the 3 claims in both actions are pursuant to the Miller Act; 4 WHEREAS, all Parties in the Conkey Action and Fought Action agree that these actions 5 should be related; and 6 WHEREAS, the Conkey Action is the lowest numbered case, such that if the cases are 7 related the Fought Action should be reassigned to Magistrate Judge Paul S. Grewal, who is 8 assigned to the Conkey Action, pursuant to L.R. 3-12 (f)(3). 9 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED BY THE 10 COUNSEL SIGNATORIES BELOW, ON BEHALF OF THEIR RESPECTIVE CLIENTS, AND THE PARTIES RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT THE 11 12 COURT ORDER THE FOLLOWING: 13 (1) That the Conkey Action and Fought Action, including related counter-claims and 14 cross-claims, should be related pursuant to L.R. 3-12 because the actions concern substantially 15 the same parties, property, transaction or event and it appears likely that there will be an unduly 16 burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted 17 before different Judges; and 18 (2) That the Clerk reassign the Fought Action to Magistrate Judge Paul S. Grewal 19 pursuant to L.R. 3-12 (f)(3). 20 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 21 Dated: December \_\_\_\_\_, 2014 MARKS, FINCH, THORNTON & BAIRD, LLP 22 23 David S. Demian Jeffrey B. Baird 24 Christopher R. Sillari Attorneys for Plaintiffs 25 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for the Use and Benefit of J.R. CONKEY & 26 ASSOCIATES, INC., a California corporation; and J.R. CONKEY & 27 ASSOCIATES, INC., a California corporation, 28 CASE NO. 5:14-cv-03360-PSG - 4 -STIPULATION TO RELATE CASES AND 5010967.1

1	Dated: December, 2014	HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
2		
3		Robert W. O'Connor
4 5		John W. Klotsche Attorneys for Plaintiffs THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for
6		the Use and Benefit of FOUGHT & COMPANY, INC., an Oregon corporation; and FOUGHT & COMPANY, INC., an
7		Oregon corporation,
8	Dated: December, 2014	NEWMEYER & DILLION, LLP
9		
10		
11		J. Brian Morrow Attorneys for Defendants
12		WALSH/DEMARIA JOINT VENTURE V, WALSH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY,
13		THE WALSH GROUP LTD., and DEMARIA BUILDING COMPANY, INC.
14	Dated: December, 2014	CORFIELD FELD LLP
15		
16		Michael A. Corfield
17		Natalie M. Kellogg Attorneys for Travelers Casualty and Surety
18		Company of America and Liberty Mutual Insurance Company
19		
20		
21		
21 22		
22		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		CASE NO. 5:14-cv-03360-PSG

## 1 (PROPOSED) ORDER The foregoing Stipulation to Relate Cases, by Defendants, Walsh/DeMaria Joint 2 Venture V, Walsh Construction Company, The Walsh Group Ltd., DeMaria Building 3 Company, Inc., Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America and Liberty Mutual 4 5 Insurance Company, Plaintiffs, The United States of America, for the use and benefit of J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc. and J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc. and Plaintiff, The United States 6 7 of America, for the use and benefit of Fought & Company, Inc. Fought & Company, Inc., has been submitted to the Court for consideration. 8 THE COURT, HAVING REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE FOREGOING 9 STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, HEREBY ORDERS: 10 That the cases entitled J.R. Conkey v. Walsh DeMaria Joint Venture V. et al. (N.D. (1) 11 Cal.) Case No. 5:14-cv-03360-PSG and Fought v. Walsh DeMaria Joint Venture V. et al. (N.D. 12 Cal.) Case No. 5:14-cv-04401-HRL, including related counter-claims and cross-claims, are 13 deemed related pursuant to L.R. 3-12 because the actions concern substantially the same parties, 14 15 property, transaction or event and it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different 16 Judges; and 17 (2)That the Clerk reassign the Fought Action, the highest numbered case, to 18 Magistrate Judge Paul S. Grewal pursuant to L.R. 3-12 (f)(3). 19 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Dated: December 24, 2014 23 24 25 Iagistrate Judge Paul S. Grewal 26 27

28