		LILED
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	DEC - 9 2009
		Clerk, U.S. District and Bankruptcy Courts
Norman Abbott,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	) ) Civil Action No.	09 2337
Heather Trant,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before the Court is the plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application to proceed *in forma* pauperis. The application will be granted and the complaint will be dismissed because it fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Plaintiff has filed a *Bivens* action, alleging that a clerk in the office of the Clerk of Court of the Supreme Court of the United States violated his civil rights by denying him access to the Supreme Court when she returned his submission with instructions and forms for filing a writ of certiorari. He further complains that the defendant interfered with his Seventh Amendment right to have his suit tried to a jury. He seeks compensatory and punitive damages from the clerk.

A clerk is generally immune from a suit for damages. *See Sindram v. Suda*, 986 F.2d 339 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (*per curiam*) (holding that "clerks, like judges, are immune from damage suits for performance of tasks that are an integral part of the judicial process"). Furthermore, the plaintiff has not stated a claim upon which relief may be granted, as he does not have a right to have his case heard in the Supreme Court of the United States.

