

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

<p>TEAMSTERS LOCAL 639-EMPLOYERS HEALTH TRUST, <i>et al.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>DARIN HODGES,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p>	<p>Civil Action No. 11-1242 (ESH)</p>
---	---	--

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiffs, Teamsters Local 639–Employers Health Trust (“Health Trust”) and its Trustees, brought this action against defendant Darin Hodges pursuant to Section 502(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, *as amended*, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1001, *et seq.* (“ERISA”), seeking reimbursement of amounts expended in health benefit payments on behalf of defendant from monies defendant received from the District of Columbia Workers’ Compensation Program.¹ (Compl., July 6, 2011 [Dkt. No. 1].)² Before the Court is plaintiffs’ amended motion for entry of default judgment. (Pls.’ Amended Mot. for Default Judgment, Dec. 12, 2011 [Dkt. No. 15].)

Plaintiffs first filed a motion for entry of default judgment on December 6, 2011. (Pls.’ Mot. for Default Judgment, Dec. 6, 2011 [Dkt. No. 13].) The Court denied that motion solely

¹The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e) and (f), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1337. Venue is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2).

²Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint on October 25, 2011, dismissing the second defendant, Matthew Pfeffer. (Amended Compl., Oct. 25, 2011 [Dkt. No. 7].)

