UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED OCT 27 2011 Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

TYRONE JULIUS,)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
BANK OF AMERICA,)
	Defendant.)

Civil Action No. 11 1891

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter comes before the court on review of plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and *pro se* civil complaint. The court will grant the application, and dismiss the complaint.

The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even *pro se* litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claim being asserted, sufficient to prepare a responsive answer, to prepare an adequate defense and to determine whether the

doctrine of res judicata applies. Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

Plaintiff mentions the name of the defendant, see Compl. ¶ 1, and appears to list account transactions, see id. ¶ 2, yet his complaint utterly fails to articulate a claim of any sort or the grounds on which this Court's jurisdiction depends. He demands damages of \$999,999,999.00. Id. \P 4. As drafted, the complaint fails to comply with Rule 8(a) and it will be dismissed.

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

United States Distric Judge