

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

_____	)	
JUAN ANTHONY MEDLEY,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 11-1893 (RWR)
	)	
U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	
_____	)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On December 9, 2011, petitioner was ordered to respond by January 20, 2012, to respondents’ opposition to his application for a writ of habeas corpus or risk dismissal of the case. On February 6, 2012, petitioner was given additional advisements and ordered to respond by March 5, 2012, or risk dismissal of the case. Petitioner has neither complied with the orders nor sought additional time to do so.<sup>1</sup> He therefore has conceded the United States Parole Commission’s (“USPC”) argument establishing its jurisdiction over petitioner at the time of his parole revocation. *See* USPC’s Opp’n to Pet’r’s Pet. for a Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. # 8] at 5-8. A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: April 9, 2012

\_\_\_\_\_/s/  
RICHARD W. ROBERTS  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup> Because petitioner failed in his responsibility to maintain a current address of record, the March 5, 2012, order was returned “as undeliverable.” Clerk’s Dkt. Entries 11, 12.