THOMAS v. THOMAS Doc. 3

FILED

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	JUL 2 3 2012
Terry C. Thomas,	)	Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	) ) Civil Action No.	<b>12 12</b> 08
Terry C. Thomas,	)	

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court is required to dismiss a complaint upon a determination that it, among other grounds, is frivolous. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).

Given the complaint's caption, plaintiff appears to be suing himself.<sup>1</sup> The "Complaint" consists of an "Affidavit of Equitable Interest" that is wholly incomprehensible. Complaints that lack "an arguable basis in law and fact" are subject to dismissal as frivolous. *Brandon v. District of Columbia Bd. of Parole*, 734 F.2d 56, 59 (D.C. Cir. 1984); *see Crisafi v. Holland*, 655 F.2d 1305, 1307-08 (D.C. Cir. 1981) ("A court may dismiss as frivolous complaints reciting bare legal conclusions with no suggestion of supporting facts, or postulating events and circumstances of a wholly fanciful kind."). The instant complaint satisfies the foregoing standard. A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: July 16, 2012

Defendant.

United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to the Clerk, plaintiff has repeatedly appeared in the Clerk's Office and demanded that his deficient complaint be filed. The Court will allow this action to be filed to resolve this matter once and for all.